Modulated structures of Cs₂HgCl₄: the 5*a* superstructure at 185 K and the 3*c* superstructure at 176 K

BAGAUTDIN BAGAUTDINOV,^{*a,b*} KATRIN PILZ,^{*a*} JENS LUDECKE^{*a*} AND SANDER VAN SMAALEN^{*a*}*

^aLaboratory of Crystallography, University of Bayreuth, D-95440 Bayreuth, Germany, and ^bInstitute of Solid State Physics, Russian Academy of Sciences, Chernogolovka, Moscow District, 142432 Russia. E-mail: smash@uni-bayreuth.de

(Received 29 March 1999; accepted 10 June 1999)

Abstract

Crystalline dicaesium mercury tetrachloride (Cs₂HgCl₄) is isomorphous with β -K₂SO₄ (space group *Pnma*, Z = 4) in its normal phase at room temperature. On cooling a sequence of incommensurate and commensurate superstructures occurs, below T = 221 K with modulations parallel to **a***, and below 184 K with modulations along \mathbf{c}^* . The commensurately modulated structures at T =185 K with $\mathbf{q} = \frac{1}{5}\mathbf{a}^*$ and at T = 176 K with $\mathbf{q} = \frac{1}{3}\mathbf{c}^*$ were determined using X-ray scattering with synchrotron radiation. The structure at T = 185 K has superspace group $Pnma(\alpha, 0, 0)$ oss with $\alpha = 0.2$. Lattice parameters were determined as $a = 5 \times 9.7729(1), b = 7.5276(4)$ and c = 13.3727 (7) Å. Structure refinements converged to R = 0.050 (R = 0.042 for 939 main reflections and R = 0.220 for 307 satellites) for the section t = 0.05 of superspace. The fivefold supercell has space group $Pn2_1a$. The structure at T = 176 K has superspace group *Pnma*(0, 0, γ)0s0 with $\gamma = \frac{1}{3}$. Lattice parameters were determined as a = 9.789(3), b = 7.541(3) and $c = 3 \times 13.418$ (4) Å. Structure refinements converged to R = 0.067 (R = 0.048 for 2130 main reflections, and R = 0.135 for 2382 satellite reflections) for the section t = 0. The threefold supercell has space group $P112_1/a$. It is shown that the structures of both low-temperature phases can be characterized as different superstructures of the periodic room-temperature structure. The superstructure of the 5a-modulated phase is analysed in terms of displacements of the Cs atoms, and rotations and distortions of HgCl₄ tetrahedral groups. In the 3cmodulated phase the distortions of the tetrahedra are relaxed, but they are replaced by translations of the tetrahedral groups in addition to rotations.

1. Introduction

 Cs_2HgCl_4 belongs to the family of A_2BX_4 compounds. These compounds are known for their phase transitions towards incommensurate and commensurate superstructures (Cummins, 1990). For many A_2BX_4 compounds the sequence of phase transitions is from a periodic structure with space group *Pnma* towards an incommensurately modulated structure with $\mathbf{q} = \alpha \mathbf{a}^*$,

© 1999 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved followed by a lock-in transition. Only a few compounds exhibit a modulated state with $\mathbf{q} = \gamma \mathbf{c}^*$, all of them with either $[(CH_3)_4N]^+$ or $[(CH_3)_4P]^+$ as cation *A* (Werk *et al.*, 1990; Madariaga, Alberdi *et al.*, 1990; Madariaga, Zuniga *et al.*, 1990).

 Cs_2HgCl_4 distinguishes itself from the other A_2BX_4 compounds by the fact that it has both modulated phases with $\mathbf{q} = \alpha \mathbf{a}^*$ and modulated phases with $\mathbf{q} = \gamma \mathbf{c}^*$. The study of both types of superstructures might give insight into the different ways the local strain can be resolved by the formation of superstructures.

 Cs_2HgCl_4 exhibits a complicated sequence of phase transitions (Bagautdinov & Brown, 1997). X-ray diffraction has shown a periodic room-temperature



Fig. 1. Projection of the basic structure of Cs₂HgCl₄ along **b**. This drawing and Figs. 4 and 5 were produced with *ATOMS* (SHAPE software).

structure with orthorhombic symmetry and space group *Pnma* (Fig. 1; Linde *et al.*, 1983). On cooling transformations towards a series of superstructures were observed with the following transition temperatures and modulation wavevectors: below $T_i = 221$ K, $\mathbf{q} = (\frac{1}{5} + \delta)\mathbf{a}^*$; below $T_c = 195$ K, $\mathbf{q} = \frac{1}{5}\mathbf{a}^*$; below $T_1 = 184$ K, $\mathbf{q} = \frac{1}{3}\mathbf{c}^*$; below $T_2 = 175$ K, $\mathbf{q} = \frac{2}{5}\mathbf{c}^*$; below $T_3 = 172$ K, $\mathbf{q} = (\frac{3}{7} - \delta)\mathbf{c}^*$; below $T_4 = 169$ K, $\mathbf{q} = \frac{3}{7}\mathbf{c}^*$; below $T_5 = 163$ K, $\mathbf{q} = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{c}^*$. The transitions have been studied by nuclear quadrupole resonance (NQR; Boguslavskii *et al.*, 1985), Raman spectroscopy and dielectric spectroscopy (Dmitriev *et al.*, 1988; Kallayev *et al.*, 1990) and X-ray diffraction (Bagautdinov & Brown, 1997).

Recently, we have studied the precursor effects above T_i through the determination of the anharmonic components of the atomic displacements by the maximum entropy method (Bagautdinov *et al.*, 1998). In the present paper we give complete structural details on the 5*a* superstructure and the 3*c* superstructure, as obtained from synchrotron radiation X-ray diffraction. The results are analysed in terms of displacements of the Cs atoms and rotations, translations and distortions of the HgCl₄ tetrahedral anions. The modes of distortion are compared to the precursor effects above T_i .

2. Experimental

A crystal of dimensions $0.04 \times 0.08 \times 0.15 \text{ mm}^3$ was selected for the scattering experiment. The relatively weak intensities of the superstructure reflections precluded a measurement with a rotating anode source, and synchrotron radiation was chosen instead. Synchrotron radiation X-ray diffaction was performed at beamline *F*1 of HasyLab at DESY (Hamburg, Germany), using a Siemens SMART CCD camera as detector. The specimen was cooled by a nitrogen flow cryostat. The temperature was stable to 0.5 K. Data collections were performed for the two phases at 185 and 176 K. Details of the experiment and the refinements are summarized in Table 1.[†]

Data reduction was performed with the standard Siemens-CCD software (*SAINT*; Siemens, 1996). The 5*a* modulated phase was indexed on a $5a \times b \times c$ superstructure. After data reduction the reflections were reindexed as main reflections and satellites using the modulation wavevector $\mathbf{q} = \frac{1}{5}\mathbf{a}^*$, according to

$$\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{h}\mathbf{a}^* + \mathbf{h}\mathbf{b}^* + \mathbf{h}\mathbf{c}^* + \mathbf{m}\mathbf{q}.$$
 (1)

Many first-order satellites had non-zero intensities, but all second-order satellites were found to be unobserved. A similar procedure was followed for the 3*c* modulated structure, now with $\mathbf{q} = \frac{1}{3}\mathbf{c}^*$. For this short-period superstructure only the first-order satellites are defined, and classes of extinct satellites were not found. The structure refinements showed that the supercell symmetry of this phase is monoclinic. However, deviations from orthorhombic symmetry could not be detected in both the lattice symmetry and the Laue symmetry. The failure to find evidence for the monoclinic group in the Laue symmetry might be due to twinning of the crystal.

3. Symmetry and refinements

A description of the superstructures was chosen on the basis of the superspace approach (de Wolff et al., 1981; van Smaalen, 1995). Superspace groups give the true symmetry of incommensurately modulated crystals, but they can also be used to analyse commensurate modulations (van Smaalen, 1987). For the present problem, the superspace approach was chosen, because it allows a unified description of the various *a*-modulated phases (Janssen, 1986) and of the various *c*-modulated phases; because both the *a*-modulated and *c*-modulated phases then are described by the same basic structure; and because the observation that all second-order satellites have zero intensities in the 5a superstructure implies that dependencies among the structural parameters exist, which can only be derived in the superspace approach.

The starting point for the description of the structure is the small $a \times b \times c$ unit cell, with six independent atoms in symmetry *Pnma*. The positions of the atoms in the superstructures are described as the sum of an average position $\bar{\mathbf{x}} = (\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2, \bar{x}_3)$, and the value of a modulation function at this point

$$x_i = \bar{x}_i + u_i(\bar{x}_{s4}) \tag{2}$$

$$\bar{x}_{s4} = t + \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{x} \tag{3}$$

$$u_i(\bar{x}_{s4} + 1) = u_i(\bar{x}_{s4}) \tag{4}$$

with i = 1, 2, 3 representing the three space directions. *t* is the initial phase of the modulation. \bar{x}_{s4} is identified as the fourth (superspace) coordinate. Owing to the periodicity of $\mathbf{u}(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{s4})$ (4), translation symmetry is present along this coordinate, and symmetries of the modulated structures are described by superspace groups (de Wolff *et al.*, 1981). Symmetry restrictions on the modulation functions follow from the superspace site symmetry for atoms in special positions.

Modulation functions are represented by a Fourier series

$$u_{i} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{n,i} \sin(2\pi n \bar{x}_{s4}) + B_{n,i} \cos(2\pi n \bar{x}_{s4}).$$
(5)

[†] Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: SE0279). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.

Table 1. Experimental details

	3c Superstructure	5a Superstructure
Crystal data		
Chemical formula	Cs_2HgCl_4	Cs_2HgCl_4
Chemical formula weight	608.2	608.2
Temperature (K)	176	185
Cell setting	Orthorhombic	Orthorhombic
Superspace group	$Pnma(0, 0, \gamma)0s0$	$Pnma(\alpha, 0, 0)0ss$
a (Å)	9.789 (3)	9.7729 (1)
b (Å)	7.541 (3)	7.5276 (4)
<i>c</i> (Å)	13.418 (3)	13.3727 (7)
Modulation wavevector	$(0, 0, \frac{1}{3})$	$(\frac{1}{5}, 0, 0)$
Ζ	4	4
t section (t_0)	0.0	0.05
Supercell	$a \times b \times 3c$	$5a \times b \times c$
Supercell space group	$P112_{1}/a$	$Pn2_1a$
Z (supercell)	12	20
$D_x (\mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3})$	4.078	4.105
Radiation type	Synchrotron	Synchrotron
Wavelength (A)	0.71073	0.7000
$\Delta f', f''$ Cs	-0.368, 2.119	-0.699, 2.068
$\Delta f', f''$ Hg	-2.389, 9.227	2.979, 9.027
$\Delta f', f''$ Cl	0.148, 0.159	0.119, 0.154
$\mu \text{ (mm}^{-1})$	23.776	23.52
Crystal form	Bar	Bar
Crystal size	$0.04 \times 0.08 \times 0.15$	$0.04 \times 0.08 \times 0.15$
Crystal colour	Colourless	Colourless
Data collection		
Diffractometer	Huber	Huber
Detector	Siemens SMART CCD camera	Siemens SMART CCD camera
Data collection method	Rotation images	Rotation images
Absorption correction	Empirical (SADABS; Sheldrick, 1996)	Empirical (SADABS; Sheldrick, 1996)
No. of measured reflections	7126	4216
No. of observed reflections	4517	3033
No. of independent reflections	4512	1246
No. of main reflections	2130	939
No. of satellites	2382	307
Criterion for observed reflections	$I > 3\sigma(I)$	$I > 3\sigma(I)$
R _{int}	0.064	0.087
θ_{max} (°)	30.68	30.68
Range of h, k, l, m	$-13 \rightarrow h \rightarrow 13$	$-13 \rightarrow h \rightarrow 12$
	$0 \to k \to 10$	$-2 \rightarrow \kappa \rightarrow 9$
	$0 \rightarrow l \rightarrow 19$	$-10 \rightarrow l \rightarrow 8$
	$-1 \rightarrow m \rightarrow 1$	$-2 \rightarrow m \rightarrow 2$
Refinement	_	
Refinement on	F	F
R, wR (all reflections)	0.067, 0.101	0.050, 0.082
R, wR (main reflections)	0.048, 0.074	0.042, 0.070
<i>R</i> , <i>wR</i> (satellites)	0.135, 0.150	0.220, 0.232
S	2.41	2.45
No. of parameters	$\frac{7}{1}$	$\frac{77}{1}$
Weighting scheme	$[\sigma^{2}(F) + (0.01F)^{2}]^{-1}$	$[\sigma^{2}(F) + (0.02F)^{2}]^{2}$
$(\Delta/s.u.)_{max}$	0.002	0.0006
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} (e A^{-3})$	0.502	0.080
$\Delta \rho_{\min} (e A^{-1})$	-U.393	-0.801
Extinction correction	1sotropic type I (Becker & Coppens, 19/4)	Isotropic type I (Becker & Coppens, 19/4)
Extinction coefficient	U.10 (2) International Tables for Crustallography	U.U/ (1) International Tables for Crustallogram
Source of atomic scattering factors	(1992, Vol. C)	(1992, Vol. C)

Generally, the Fourier amplitudes can be determined up to order n if satellite reflections up to order |m| = n have been observed (1) (van Aalst *et al.*, 1976). The true symmetry of commensurately modulated structures is represented by its space group with respect to the supercell. The supercell and its symmetry can be derived from the superspace group in a unique way. It Table 2. Supercell space groups derived from the superspace groups for various values of q = n/m

The section t is defined by the value of τ_4 in $(i\bar{1}|000\tau_4)$.

Other τ_4 n/m $\tau_4 = 0$ $\tau_4 = 1/2m \pmod{1/m}$ Pnma(n/m, 0, 0)P112/cP11a odd/odd $Pn2_1a$ odd/even $P12_{1}/a1$ P1a1 $Pna2_1$ even/odd $P2_1/n11$ $P2_{1}2_{1}2_{1}$ $P2_{1}11$ Pnma(0, 0, n/m) $P2_{1}2_{1}2_{1}$ odd/odd $P112_{1}/a$ $P112_{1}$ odd/even $P12_{1}/c1$ $P2_1ca$ P1c1 $P2_1/n11$ *Pn*11 even/odd $Pn2_1a$

appears that the supercell space group depends on the value of t as well as on the values of **q**. For the superspace group $Pnma(\alpha, 0, 0)0ss$ the various possibilities have been derived by Janssen (1986). For the superspace group $Pnma(0, 0, \gamma)0s0$ they are derived here. The complete set of possibilities for both superspace groups is summarized in Table 2. The correct symmetry for the two superstructures was determined by variation of the value of t in the refinements. All refinements were carried out with the system of computer programs JANA98 (Petricek & Dusek, 1998).

3.1. The 5a superstructure

From the extinction conditions the centrosymmetric superspace group $Pnma(\alpha, 0, 0)$ was determined. Starting with the structure model for the roomtemperature phase, refinement of the basic structure parameters against the main reflections resulted in a good fit with R = 0.042. Because only first-order satellites were observed, the refinement was continued with the first-order harmonics of the modulation functions and all data. A satisfactory fit was not obtained. This can be understood from the fact that for $\mathbf{q} = \frac{1}{5}\mathbf{a}^*$ both the first- and fourth-order harmonics contribute to the firstorder satellites. Fourth-order harmonics are independent of the first-order harmonics for the atoms on special positions. For the Cl(3) atom in a general position, the first-order harmonic is sufficient to describe the complete modulation. Therefore, the fourth-order harmonics were included, and a good fit was obtained (Table 1). Introduction of the second- and third-order harmonics into the refinement did not lower the Rfactors. Furthermore, the values for these parameters were found to be zero within their relatively large standard deviations. Therefore, they were kept at zero values for the final refinement. Second- and third-order harmonics are expected to be zero, because the secondorder satellites were found with zero intensities. The final agreement factor for the satellites is rather high. This can be explained by the fact that many of the satellites are just above the level of observability. Support for this interpretation comes from the dependence of the R-value on the level of observability

 Table 3. Partial R values for the satellite reflections for the refinement of the 5a superstructure

The dependency is shown of *R* on the level of observability $I = f\sigma(I)$.

f	R_F	wR_{F^2}	Number of reflections
3.0	21.79	23.14	307
4.0	16.26	18.26	172
5.0	13.97	17.03	111
6.0	12.69	15.81	84

(Table 3). Furthermore, the quality of the fit was found to depend on the value of t. The best fit was obtained for $t = t_0 = 0.05$. This corresponds to the non-centrosymmetric space group $Pn2_1a$ for the supercell (Table 2). Coordinates, modulation amplitudes and displacement parameters are summarized in Tables 4 and 5.

3.2. The 3c superstructure

Analysis of the extinction conditions led to the superspace group $Pnma(0, 0, \gamma)0s0$. A similar procedure was followed for the refinements as it was for the 5asuperstructure. Now, first- and second-order harmonics were required to obtain a good fit to the satellite reflections. On average, because the satellites were more intense than for the 5a superstructure, a better fit was obtained. The best fit was obtained for $t = t_0 = 0$, corresponding to the supercell space group $P112_1/a$ (Table 2). Since this is a monoclinic symmetry, twinning is most likely to occur. The refinements were performed with data averaged according to Laue symmetry mmm, thus artificially fixing the volume fractions of the domains at 0.5. Calculated structure factors were then obtained using the twinning option in JANA98. Coordinates, modulation amplitudes and displacement parameters are summarized in Tables 6 and 7.

4. Discussion

For a *N*-fold superstructure, the modulation functions are sampled at *N* values of their arguments only. These values are found for $t = t_0 + \frac{p}{N}$ with $p = 0, \dots, (N-1)$ (3). For $\mathbf{q} = \frac{1}{5}\mathbf{a}^*$ and $\mathbf{q} = \frac{1}{3}\mathbf{c}^*$ the consecutive *t*-values correspond to positions of atoms in consecutive unit cells. Therefore, the different atomic positions in the different unit cells are characterized by their *t* values in Figs. 2 and 3 and in Tables 8, 9 and 10.

The distortions in *a*-modulated A_2BX_4 compounds have been interpreted as rotations of the BX_4 groups about an axis parallel to **a** and going through the *B* atoms, combined with displacements of the *A* cations perpendicular to the mirror planes (Fabry & Perez-Mato, 1994; Iizumi *et al.*, 1977). In accordance with this interpretation, the largest displacements of the atoms in the mirror planes are parallel to **b**, while Cl(3) has a second component of large displacement along **b** (Figs. 2 and 3). The rotations of the HgCl₄ groups are clearly Basic structure coordinates are relative to the basic structure unit cell. Modulation amplitudes are in (Å) [equation (5)]. Standard deviations are in parentheses.

Atom		x_i^0	A_{1i}	B_{1i}	A_{4i}	B_{4i}
Cs(1)	x	0.61947 (10)	0	0	-0.0147 (36)	0.0127 (29)
	v	0.25	-0.0211(17)	0.0580 (14)	0	0
	z	0.59542 (9)	0	0	-0.0013(56)	-0.0080(63)
Cs(2)	x	-0.01382(7)	0	0	-0.0137(26)	0.0323 (23)
	v	0.25	0.0279 (15)	-0.0512(14)	0	0
	z	0.32056 (5)	0	0	-0.0094(34)	-0.0281(39)
Hg	x	0.21391 (4)	0	0	0.0108 (17)	0.0010 (19)
0	v	0.25	-0.0090(8)	0.0211 (9)	0	0
	z	0.57583 (3)	0	0	-0.0027(24)	-0.0161(27)
Cl(1)	x	-0.0317(4)	0	0	-0.0977 (18)	-0.0293(9)
	v	0.25	-0.1506(7)	-0.0151(6)	0	0
	z	0.5839 (3)	0	0	0.0936 (13)	-0.1070 (25)
Cl(2)	x	0.3270 (4)	0	0	0.0029 (70)	-0.0068(72)
	v	025	-0.0151(10)	-0.2484(12)	0	0
	z	0.4104(2)	0	0	0.1337 (13)	-0.0027 (92)
Cl(3)	x	0.3159 (3)	0.0332 (60)	-0.0665(54)		
- (-)	v	-0.0071(4)	-0.0023(41)	0.1980 (48)		
	z	0.6601 (4)	0.0160 (81)	0.3183 (60)		

Table 5. Displacement parameters $(Å^2)$ for the 5a superstructure

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}	$U_{ m iso}$
Cs(1)	0.0226 (5)	0.0566 (5)	0.1060 (8)	0	0.0024 (5)	0	0.0617 (4)
Cs(2)	0.0221 (4)	0.0545 (4)	0.0263 (4)	0	-0.0009(3)	0	0.0343 (2)
Cs(1)	0.0226 (5)	0.0566 (5)	0.1060 (8)	0	0.0024 (5)	0	0.0617 (4)
Cs(2)	0.0221 (4)	0.0545 (4)	0.0263 (4)	0	-0.0009(3)	0	0.0343 (2)
Cl(3)	0.051 (2)	0.068 (2)	0.148 (4)	-0.017(1)	-0.037(2)	0.067 (2)	0.089 (2)

visible in the projection of one large unit cell of the 5a superstructure along **a** (Fig. 4). The range of orientations is estimated to encompass 9° of rotation.

A modulation along **c** is much more scarce amongst the A_2BX_4 compounds, and it has not been incorporated into a general model for the modulations of these compounds. Fig. 2 shows that the largest modulations are along the same directions as for the 5*a* superstructure. An obvious difference is the relatively large displacement of the Hg atoms parallel to **b**. This is found to correspond to shifts of the HgCl₄ groups out of the original mirror planes (Fig. 5). (The mirror planes are no longer present in the supercell space group $P2_1/a$.) Furthermore, rotations of the HgCl₄ groups about **a** over 9° are also found in this structure.

A more detailed analysis shows that it is not just rotations and shifts, which characterize the modulations. The four distances Hg-Cl within single HgCl₄ groups show a much greater variation in the 5*a* superstructure than in the 3*c* superstructure or in the room-temperature structure (Table 8). A similar behaviour is observed for the angles Cl-Hg-Cl (Table 10). The valence of mercury is the same for the three crystallographically independent atoms in the 3*c* superstructure, while definitive variations are found between the five independent Hg atoms in the 5*a* superstructure (Table 9). All these observations show that in the 5a superstructure the HgCl₄ groups are distorted as compared to their ideal geometry. The latter is present at room temperature, where the HgCl₄ groups are dynamically disordered, and at low temperatures.

Cs(2) is in nine coordination from Cl and it is slightly over-bonded V = 1.12 at room temperature (Bagautdinov et al., 1998)]. Cs(1) is in 11-coordination, but it is heavily under-bonded (V = 0.68). At the same time, $C_{s}(1)$ is involved in the shortest $C_{s}-C_{l}$ distance in the structure, which contributes 27% to the valence of Cs(1). [All valences are obtained with the bond-valence method (Brown, 1992) with parameters by Brese & O'Keeffe (1991).] This short distance has been made responsible for the modulations observed in A_2BX_4 compounds (Fabry & Perez-Mato, 1994). Surprisingly, the variations of the valences of Cs(1) and Cs(2) in both modulated structures are relatively small (Fig. 6). Still, a definite but small increase in the valence of Cs(1) is found compared with the valence of the basic structure. The largest variations are found for the valence of Cs(2)in the 5a superstructure. However, the average valence over the five independent Cs(2) atoms is almost equal to the valence of $C_{s}(2)$ in the basic structure. In the 3csuperstructure, the average valence of the overbonded Cs(2) is smaller than the valence in the basic structure





Fig. 2. The modulation functions for the 5*a* superstructure: (*a*) the *x* displacement, (*b*) the *y* displacement and (*c*) the *z* displacement. Continuous lines show the modulation functions, while symbols indicate the values that are realized for the best *t* value. Full lines and open circles pertain to the Cl atoms; dashed lines and open squares pertain to Cs(1), and filled squares represent Cs(2); dotted lines and filled circles pertain to Hg.

Fig. 3. The modulation functions for the 3a superstructure. (a) the x displacement, (b) the y displacement and (c) the z displacement. Continuous lines show the modulation functions, while symbols indicate the values that are realized for the best t value. Full lines and open circles pertain to the Cl atoms; dashed lines and open squares pertain to Cs(1), and filled squares represent Cs(2); dotted lines and filled circles pertain to Hg.

Table 6. Atomic coordinates and modulation amplitudes of the 3c superstructure

Basic structure coordinates are relative to the basic structure unit cell. Modulation amplitudes are in (Å) [equation (5)]. Standard deviations are in parentheses.

Atom		x_i^0	A_{1i}		B_{1i}	A_{2i}	B_{2i}
Cs(1)	x	0.61963 (6)	0		0	0.0185 (9)	-0.0076 (11)
~ /	у	0.25	0.0305 (6))	0.0371 (6)	0	0
	z	0.59598 (6)	0		0	-0.0114(14)	0.0893 (12)
Cs(2)	х	-0.01369(4)	0		0	0.0208 (8)	0.0198 (10)
. ,	у	0.25	0.0678 (5)	1	-0.0678(5)	0	0
	z	0.32034 (3)	0		0	-0.0154(9)	0.0117 (8)
Hg	х	0.21371 (3)	0		0	0.0258 (5)	0.0024 (6)
	у	0.25	0.0899 (3)		0.0531 (3)	0	0
	z	0.57575 (2)	0		0	0.0060(5)	-0.0011(5)
Cl(1)	x	-0.0320(3)	0		0	0.021 (3)	0.005 (4)
. ,	v	0.25	0.2770 (42	2)	-0.0154(36)	0	0
	z	0.5839(2)	0	<i>,</i>	0	0.005 (5)	0.040(4)
Cl(2)	x	0.3257 (2)	0		0	0.020(3)	0.010(4)
. ,	v	0.25	0.0300 (29))	-0.2031(32)	0	0
	z	0.4109(1)	0	<i>,</i>	0	-0.007 (4)	0.014 (3)
Cl(3)	x	0.3159 (2)	0.0585 (22	2)	0.0371 (23)		
	v	0.5062(2)	0.1670 (22	2)	0.1686 (21)		
	z	0.6609 (4)	-0.1351 (32	2)	-0.2536 (30)		
		Table 7. Disp	lacement paran	ieters (Å	(2^{2}) for the 3c superstr	ucture	
Atom	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}	$U_{ m iso}$
Cs(1)	0.0253(3)	0.0584 (3)	0.1126 (6)	0	0.0008(3)	0	0.0654 (2)
$C_{s(2)}$	0.0263(2)	0.0546 (3)	0.0308 (2)	Õ	-0.0009(1)	õ	0.0373(1)
Hg	0.0286 (2)	0.0417 (2)	0.0322 (2)	0	0.00113 (9)) 0	0.0342 (1)

Table 8. Bond lengths (Å) for the room-temperature structure and the 5a and 3c superstructures

0

0

0.0170 (8)

0.064(2)

0.0315 (8)

0.156(2)

t Hg-Cl(1) Hg-Cl(2)	Pnma -	5a supers	tructure	3c superstructure					
t		0.05	0.25	0.45	0.65	0.85	0.0	0.333	0.667
Hg-Cl(1)	2.399	2.512	2.431	2.309	2.325	2.452	2.420	2.408	2.417
Hg-Cl(2)	2.471	2.461	2.350	2.431	2.566	2.597	2.489	2.473	2.477
Hg-Cl(3)	2.456	2.456	2.479	2.503	2.445	2.435	2.476	2.465	2.463
Hg-Cl(3)'	2.456	2.465	2.432	2.471	2.470	2.494	2.441	2.481	2.483
Average	2.446	2.474	2.423	2.429	2.452	2.495	2.457	2.457	2.460
Max-min	0.072	0.056	0.129	0.194	0.241	0.162	0.069	0.073	0.066

(Fig. 6). This is again an indication that the modulation in this phase is accompanied with a large amount of internal strain.

0.162(2)

0.125 (2)

0.080(1)

The directions of largest modulations of all atoms correlate with the largest components of the displacement tensors found for the refinement of the structure at room temperature (Bagautdinov *et al.*, 1998). However, the anisotropy of the displacement tensors is not reduced in the modulated phases (Tables 6 and 7). The general reduction in sizes is compatible with the lower temperatures of the modulated structures. The largest anharmonic contributions to the displacement factors at room temperature have been found for Cs(1), Cl(1) and Cl(3) (Bagautdinov *et al.*, 1998). In accordance with the observed modulation amplitudes at lower temperatures, the anharmonicity of Cl(1) involves displacements

perpendicular to the mirror planes. The major anharmonic terms for Cl(3) involve displacements parallel to \mathbf{c} , and the largest modulation is found for this atom along this direction. However, the modulation of Cl(2) does not have a counterpart in anharmonic terms in its displacement tensor at room temperature, and Cs(1) has an anharmonic displacement parallel to \mathbf{c} , while its largest displacement is along \mathbf{b} . The conclusion must be that the directions of the modulations at low temperatures are not directly correlated with the anharmonicity of the displacement factors at room temperature.

0.0057(9)

0.0075 (8)

-0.035(2)

0

0

-0.072(1)

0.083(1)

0.0629 (8)

0.0950 (8)

5. Conclusions

The structures of Cs_2HgCl_4 have been determined for the 5*a* superstructure at T = 185 K and for the 3*c*

Cl(1)

Cl(2)

Cl(3)

0.022(1)

0.032(1)

0.0494(9)

Table 9. Bond valences between Hg and Cl and the valence of Hg for the room-temperature structure and the 5a and 3csuperstructures

	Pnma	5a supers	tructure	3c superstructure					
t	_	0.05	0.25	0.45	0.65	0.85	0.0	0.333	0.667
Hg-Cl(1)	0.671	0.494	0.615	0.856	0.819	0.581	0.634	0.655	0.639
Hg-Cl(2)	0.552	0.567	0.766	0.615	0.427	0.393	0.526	0.549	0.543
Hg-Cl(3)	0.575	0.575	0.540	0.506	0.592	0.609	0.545	0.561	0.564
Hg-Cl(3)'	0.575	0.561	0.614	0.552	0.554	0.519	0.599	0.537	0.535
Valence (Hg)	2.373	2.197	2.535	2.529	2.392	2.102	2.304	2.302	2.281

Table 10. Bond angles (°) in the $HgCl_4$ groups for the room-temperature structure and the 5a and 3c superstructures

	Pnma _	5 <i>a</i> superstructure					3c superstructure		
t		0.05	0.25	0.45	0.65	0.85	0.0	0.333	0.667
Cl(1) - Hg - Cl(2)	118.2	119.5	118.0	117.4	119.5	120.9	118.7	119.0	118.6
Cl(1) - Hg - Cl(3)	112.7	110.0	112.1	114.6	114.7	110.7	111.0	109.8	117.0
Cl(1) - Hg - Cl(3)'	112.7	114.6	115.1	112.3	109.8	111.4	113.7	114.5	109.8
Cl(2) - Hg - Cl(3)	103.3	105.0	101.7	101.1	103.3	106.3	103.1	105.6	102.4
Cl(2) - Hg - Cl(3)'	103.3	101.4	104.0	105.9	104.1	101.2	104.6	102.4	104.2
Cl(3) - Hg - Cl(3)'	105.5	105.0	104.3	104.2	104.1	104.9	104.3	104.3	103.0
Max-min	14.9	18.1	16.2	16.3	16.2	19.8	15.6	16.6	16.1

superstructure at T = 176 K. It is **a**-modulation that is the usual type of superstructure ordering in A_2BX_4 compounds. Assuming the superstructures to represent



a distortion according to the Σ_2 normal mode of *Pnma*, it has been proposed that the modulations involve rotations of the BX_4 groups about axes in the mirror planes together with displacements of the A cations perpendicular to the mirror planes (Fabry & Perez-Mato, 1994). Here we have shown that atomic displacements in the 5a superstructure involve the Σ_2 mode, but that in addition distortions of the HgCl₄ groups occur. The 3c superstructure is found to contain undistorted HgCl₄ groups. In addition to rotations of similar sizes as in the 5a superstructure, the modulation of the HgCl₄ groups now involves shifts away from the mirror plane of the parent phase. Apparently, the distortions of HgCl₄ groups are responsible for internal strain, which make a-modulated structures energetically less favourable than c-modulated structures.



Fig. 4. Projection of one supercell of the 5a superstructure along **a**. HgCl₄ groups are represented by tetrahedra. Note that in this projection the orientations (rotations) are almost the same for the first and the fifth tetrahedra, and for the second and the third tetrahedra in one supercell along **a**.

Fig. 5. Projection of one supercell of the 3c superstructure along **c**. HgCl₄ groups are represented by tetrahedra. The shifts of these groups are clearly visible. The Cs atoms are not shown.

Both superstructures are described by centrosymmetric superspace groups based on the same space group for the average structure (Table 1). The latter property stresses that both superstructures are generated through different distortions of the same basic



Fig. 6. Valences of Cs(1) and Cs(2) as a function of the fourth superspace coordinate. (a) The 5a superstructure {basic structure V[Cs(1)] = 0.72, V[Cs(2)] = 1.18, modulated structure V[Cs(1)] = 0.77, V[Cs(2)] = 1.17; (b) the 3c superstructure {basic structure V[Cs(1)] = 0.71, V[Cs(2)] = 1.16, modulated structure V[Cs(1)] = 0.74, V[Cs(2)] = 1.15. The dotted lines represent the values in the basic structures. Valences are calculated by the bond-valence method with R^0 (Cs-Cl) = 2.791 Å (Brese & O'Keeffe, 1991).

structure. The superspace description thus provides a unified description of the symmetries of the two kinds of modulations.

For the commensurate modulations the true structure is obtained by one particular section t of superspace only. We have determined these sections for both superstructures (Table 1). It is found that the 5a superstructure has a non-centrosymmetric space group for the supercell, while the 3c superstructure is centrosymmetric in three-dimensional space. These results are in accordance with the physical properties, which have indicated a polar symmetry for the 5a phase and a non-polar symmetry for the 3c phase (Dmitriev *et al.*, 1988; Kallayev *et al.*, 1990).

It is likely that the symmetries of the other modulated phases are described by the same superspace groups as presently determined for the 5a and 3c modulated structures. For the incommensurately modulated structures, the superspace group gives the complete symmetry, and these phases will show the behaviour according to a centrosymmetric symmetry. For the commensurate modulations, the appropriate section t of superspace needs to be determined in each case. The supercell space group can then be obtained from Table 2. It is noted that dependent on t, either a centrosymmetric or non-centrosymmetric supercell space group is obtained.

We thank Dr Yu. Yuzyuk for providing the crystals and Dr W. Morgenroth for assistance with the synchrotron experiment. Financial support was obtained from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft DFG (German Science Foundation) and the Fonds der chemischen Industrie.

References

- Aalst, W. van, Hollander, J. den, Peterse, W. J. A. M. & De Wolff, P. M. (1976). Acta Cryst. B32, 47–58.
- Bagautdinov, B. & Brown, I. D. (1997). Unpublished.
- Bagautdinov, B., Luedecke, J., Schneider, M. & van Smaalen, S. (1998). Acta Cryst. B**54**, 626–634.
- Becker, P. J. & Coppens, P. (1974). Acta Cryst. A30, 129–147.
- Boguslavskii, A. A., Lotfullin, R. S., Simonov, M. V., Kirilenko, V. V., Pakhomov, V. I. & Mikhailova, A. Y. (1985). Sov. Phys. Solid State, 27, 321.
- Brese, N. & O'Keeffe, M. (1991). Acta Cryst. B47, 192–197.
- Brown, I. D. (1992). Acta Cryst. B48, 553-572.
- Cummins, H. Z. (1990). Phys. Rep. 185, 211-409.
- Dmitriev, V. P., Yuzyuk, Y. I., Tregubchenko, A. V., Larin, E. S., Kirilenko, V. V. & Pakhomov, V. I. (1988). Sov. Phys. Solid State, 30, 704–705.
- Fabry, J. & Perez-Mato, J. M. (1994). *Phase Transit.* **49**, 193–229.
- Iizumi, M., Axe, J. D., Shirane, G. & Shimaoka, K. (1977). Phys. Rev. B, 15, 4392–4411.

Janssen, T. (1986). Ferroelectrics, 66, 203-216.

- Kallayev, S. N., Gladkii, V. V., Kirikov, V. A. & Kamilov, I. K. (1990). Ferroelectrics, **106**, 299–302.
- Linde, S. A., Mikhailova, A. Y., Pakhomov, V. I., Kirilenko, V. V. & Shulga, V. G. (1983). *Koord. Khim.* **9**, 998–999.
- Madariaga, G., Alberdi, M. M. & Zuniga, F. J. (1990). Acta Cryst. C46, 2363–2366.
- Madariaga, G., Zuniga, F. J., Paciorek, W. A. & Bocanegra, E. H. (1990). *Acta Cryst.* B46, 620–628.
- Petricek, V. & Dusek, M. (1998). JANA98. Institute of Physics, Academy of Sciences, Praha, Czech Republic.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1996). SADABS. Siemens Area Detector Absorption Correction Software. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Siemens (1996). SAINT. Area Detector and Integration Software. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Smaalen, S. van (1987). Acta Cryst. A43, 202-207.
- Smaalen, S. van (1995). Crystallogr. Rev. 4, 79-202.
- Werk, M. L., Chapuis, G. & Zuniga, F. J. (1990). Acta Cryst. B46, 187–192.
- Wolff, P. M. de, Janssen, T. & Janner, A. (1981). Acta Cryst. A37, 625–636.